

## EXTREMAL K-CONTACT METRICS

MEHDI LEJMI AND MARKUS UPMEIER

ABSTRACT. Extending a result of He to the non-integrable case of  $K$ -contact manifolds, it is shown that transverse Hermitian scalar curvature may be interpreted as a moment map for the strict contactomorphism group. As a consequence, we may generalize the Sasaki-Futaki invariant to  $K$ -contact geometry and establish a number of elementary properties.

Moreover, we prove that in dimension 5 certain deformation-theoretic results can be established also under weaker integrability conditions by exploiting the relationship between  $J$ -anti-invariant and self-dual 2-forms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

On a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , consider the space  $\mathcal{AC}(\omega)$  of all  $\omega$ -compatible almost-complex structures  $J$  and the subspace  $\mathcal{C}(\omega)$  of integrable ones. A crucial observation due to Fujiki [11] is that  $\mathcal{C}(\omega)$  may be viewed as an infinite-dimensional Kähler manifold and that the natural action of the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms admits a moment map, associating to a complex structure  $J$  the scalar curvature of the metric  $g = \omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ . An important generalization of this result to  $\mathcal{AC}(\omega)$ , the non-integrable case, was established by Donaldson [10].

The critical points of the square-norm of this moment map give canonical representatives of almost-complex structures  $J$  (corresponding to metrics) called *extremal almost-Kähler metrics* [2, 26]. These metrics are a natural extension of Calabi's extremal Kähler metrics [7, 8].

Recently, He [21] introduced a similar moment map picture to Sasakian geometry, which may be viewed as an odd-dimensional counterpart of Kähler geometry. The first goal of this paper is to generalize in Theorem 16 the result of He to the non-integrable case (so-called *K-contact structures*), as conjectured in [21, Remark 4.3]. The moment map now takes a  $K$ -contact structure to its transverse Hermitian scalar curvature.

We define *extremal K-contact metrics* again as critical points. Theorem 16 has a number of consequences (such as a  $K$ -contact *Futaki invariant* [5, 6, 13]), which we investigate in Sections 4, 5. These metrics appear as natural extensions of *extremal Sasakian metrics*, introduced by Boyer-Galicki-Simanca [5, 6] and motivated by the examples of *irregular* Sasaki-Einstein metrics (see for instance [19]).

In Sections 3, 6 we consider the deformation-theoretic behaviour of extremal  $K$ -contact metrics, leading to the notion of a *semi-Sasakian structure*. As opposed to the integrable case, our considerations are limited to dimension 5, as we exploit the relationship between  $J$ -anti-invariant and self-dual 2-forms. We also generalize the *transverse  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma* [22] to the  $K$ -contact case.

**Acknowledgements:** The first author is very grateful to Christina Tønnesen-Friedman and Charles Boyer for their suggestions on how to construct irregular

extremal Sasakian metrics. Both authors are thankful to Joel Fine and Weiyong He for several useful discussions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1.  $K$ -contact structures.** Let  $(M, \eta)$  be a *contact manifold* of dimension  $2n+1$ , where  $\eta$  is the *contact 1-form* satisfying  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$  at every point of  $M$ . The Reeb vector field  $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  for  $\eta$  is uniquely determined by the requirements

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \iota_\xi(d\eta) = 0.$$

The corresponding distribution  $\mathcal{F}_\xi = \mathbb{R}\xi \subset TM$  defines the *characteristic foliation*.

Denote by  $\mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  the strict contactomorphism group of all diffeomorphisms  $f$  satisfying  $f^*\eta = \eta$ . Its Lie algebra are all vector fields  $X$  with  $\mathfrak{L}_X\eta = 0$ . For  $M$  compact, the *contact Hamiltonian* of  $X$  is the unique basic function  $f \in C_B^\infty(M)$  satisfying  $\eta(X) = f$ ,  $d\eta(X, \cdot) = -df$ . This gives an identification

$$(1) \quad \text{Lie } \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta) \cong C_B^\infty(M), \quad f \leftrightarrow X_f.$$

We use it to transport the metric on  $C_B^\infty(M) \subset L^2(M, dv_\eta)$  to  $\text{Lie } \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$ .

**Definition 1.** A  *$K$ -contact structure*  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi)$  consists of a contact form  $\eta$  on  $M$  with Reeb field  $\xi$  together with an endomorphism  $\Phi : TM \rightarrow TM$  satisfying

$$\Phi^2 = -\text{id}_{TM} + \xi \otimes \eta, \quad \mathfrak{L}_\xi \Phi = 0,$$

We require also the following compatibility conditions with  $\eta$ :

$$d\eta(\Phi X, \Phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y), \quad d\eta(Z, \Phi Z) > 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in TM, Z \in \ker(\eta) \setminus \{0\}$$

**Definition 2.** Fixing  $\eta$ , the set of  $K$ -contact structures  $\Phi$  on  $(M, \eta)$  is denoted  $\mathcal{K}_\eta$ .

From Definition 1 one may deduce  $\Phi(\xi) = 0$ ,  $\eta \circ \Phi = 0$ . Moreover, to any  $K$ -contact structure there belongs a metric  $g = g_\Phi$  given by

$$(2) \quad g_\Phi(X, Y) = d\eta(X, \Phi Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

The leaves of  $\mathcal{F}_\xi$  are geodesics with respect to  $g$  and the foliation is Riemannian (see [4, Section 2]). In particular, we have a *transverse Levi-Civita connection*  $D^T$  on the *normal bundle*  $\nu = TM/\mathbb{R}\xi$ . This is the unique metric, torsion-free connection on  $\nu$  (i.e.  $D_X^T(\pi Y) - D_Y^T(\pi X) = \pi[X, Y]$  for the projection  $\pi : TM \rightarrow \nu$ ).

**Remark 3.** A *Sasakian structure* is a  $K$ -contact structure  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  satisfying the integrability condition  $D_X^g \Phi = \xi \otimes X^{\flat_g} - X \otimes \eta$  ( $\forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ) for the Levi-Civita connection  $D^g$  on  $(M, g)$  and where  $X^{\flat_g} = g(\cdot, X)$ . It is well-known that this is equivalent to the almost-Kähler cone  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times M, dr^2 + r^2 g, d(\frac{r^2}{2}\eta))$  being Kähler.

**2.2. Basic Forms and Transverse Structure.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $M$  given by an integrable subbundle  $T\mathcal{F}$  of  $TM$ . A  $p$ -form  $\alpha$  on  $M$  is called *basic* if

$$\iota_\xi \alpha = 0, \quad \mathfrak{L}_\xi \alpha = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M, T\mathcal{F}).$$

Let  $(\Omega_B^*(M), d_B)$  denote the subcomplex of basic forms of the de Rham complex and let  $C_B^\infty(M) = \Omega_B^0(M)$ . The *basic cohomology* is  $H_{\mathcal{F}}^*(M) = H^*(\Omega_B(M), d_B)$ .

A *transverse symplectic*, *almost-complex*, or *Riemannian structure* is a corresponding structure on the normal bundle  $\nu$  whose Lie derivative in direction of vectors tangent to the leaves vanishes (see [33]). For example, a  $K$ -contact structure  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  gives a transverse almost complex structure  $\Phi^T = \Phi|_\nu \in \text{End}(\nu)$ , metric  $g^T = g_\Phi|_\nu$ , and symplectic form  $\omega^T = d\eta|_\nu \in \Gamma(M, \Lambda^2 \nu^*)$  for  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\xi$ .

**Definition 4.** Fixing  $\xi$  and  $J \in \text{End}(\nu)$ , let  $\mathcal{K}(\xi, J)$  be the space of all  $K$ -contact structures  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi)$  with Reeb field  $\xi$  and induced transverse structure  $\Phi^T = J$ .

We briefly describe a  $K$ -contact structure in local coordinates (see [21] and also [4, 15] in the Sasakian case). We may pick contact Darboux coordinates [18, Theorem 2.5.1] which means the contact form may be written as

$$\eta = dx^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x^{2i-1} dx^{2i}, \quad \xi = \partial/\partial x^0.$$

Then  $\omega^T = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^{2i-1} \wedge dx^{2i}$ . The subspace  $\ker \eta$ , which identifies via  $X \mapsto X - \eta(X)\xi$  with the normal bundle  $TM/\mathbb{R}\xi = \nu$ , is spanned by

$$e_{2i-1} = \partial/\partial x^{2i-1}, \quad e_{2i} = \partial/\partial x^{2i} - x^{2i-1} \partial/\partial x^0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

Using  $\ker(\eta) \perp \xi$ , the metric (2) has  $g_{ij} = g(e_i, e_j) = g(\partial/\partial x^i, \partial/\partial x^j)$  for  $i, j \geq 1$  and  $g_{00} = 1$ .  $\Phi$  is described by the basic functions  $\Phi(e_i) = \Phi_i^j e_j$ . We have

$$(3) \quad \Phi_i^k \Phi_k^j = -\delta_i^j, \quad g_{jk} \Phi_i^j = g_{ji} \Phi_k^j.$$

**2.3. Hermitian curvature.** The (*transverse*) *Hermitian connection*  $\bar{\nabla}^T$  on  $\nu$  may be defined using the transverse Levi-Civita connection  $D^T$  via

$$\bar{\nabla}_X^T Y = D_X^T Y - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^T (D_X^T \Phi^T) Y.$$

(see [17, 29] and [16, Sections 9.2, 9.3] for details on Hermitian connections.) This gives the unique connection on  $\nu$  with  $\bar{\nabla}^T h = 0$ , where  $2h = g^T - i\omega^T$ , and whose torsion is the transverse Nijenhuis tensor (4). Let  $\bar{R}^T$  be the curvature of  $\bar{\nabla}^T$  and

$$\bar{\rho}^T(X, Y) = -\Lambda_\omega(\Phi^T \circ \bar{R}_{X,Y}^T),$$

where  $\Lambda_\omega$  denotes the adjoint of  $\omega^T \wedge -$  on basic forms, see (6). The (*transverse*) *Hermitian scalar curvature* is defined via the *Hermitian Ricci 2-form*  $\bar{\rho}^T$  as

$$\bar{s}^T = 2\Lambda_\omega(\bar{\rho}^T).$$

If  $\eta$  is fixed we shall emphasize the dependence on  $\Phi$  by writing  $\bar{s}_\Phi^T$ .

### 3. BASIC COHOMOLOGY OF $K$ -CONTACT STRUCTURES

Throughout this section, fix a compact  $K$ -contact manifold  $(M, \eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  of dimension  $2n+1$  with transverse almost complex structure  $J = \Phi^T$ . Our first goal is to describe in Theorem 15 the space  $\mathcal{K}(\xi, J)$  when  $2n+1 = 5$ . This requires the development of some machinery of ‘almost Kähler geometry in the transverse,’ for example the *transverse  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma* (generalizing a result of El-Kacimi-Alaoui [22] to the  $K$ -contact case).

**3.1. Transverse Almost Kähler Geometry.** The endomorphism  $\Phi$  induces an action on basic  $p$ -forms via

$$(\Phi\alpha)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = (-1)^p \alpha(\Phi X_1, \dots, \Phi X_p).$$

For instance  $\Phi\eta = 0$ . This action preserves basic forms  $\alpha \in \Omega_B^p(M)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\xi(\Phi\alpha)(X_2, \dots, X_p) &= (-1)^p \alpha(\Phi\xi, \Phi X_2, \dots, \Phi X_p) = 0, \\ \mathfrak{L}_\xi(\Phi\alpha) &= (\mathfrak{L}_\xi \Phi)\alpha = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On  $p$ -forms we have  $\Phi^2|_{\Omega_B^p} = (-1)^p \text{id}$ . This action coincides for all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}(\xi, J)$  and accordingly we may speak just of  $J$ -invariant basic forms.

The *twisted exterior derivative* on  $p$ -forms is  $d^c = (-1)^p \Phi d \Phi$  and preserves basic forms. We write  $d_B^c = d^c|_{\Omega_B^p}$ .

**Remark 5.** For a basic function  $f$  we have

$$[(d_B^c d_B + d_B d_B^c)f](X, Y) = d_B^c f(N_\Phi(X, Y)),$$

using the *transverse Nijenhuis tensor*

$$(4) \quad N_\Phi(X, Y) = [\Phi X, \Phi Y] + \Phi^2[X, Y] - \Phi[\Phi X, Y] - \Phi[X, \Phi Y].$$

Furthermore, when the  $K$ -contact structure  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  is Sasakian,  $N_\Phi = -2\xi \otimes d\eta$  (see for instance [4, p. 204]) and thus  $d_B^c d_B + d_B d_B^c = 0$ .

The *transverse Hodge star operator*  $\bar{*}$  (see [4, p. 215] or [33]) is defined in terms of the usual Riemannian Hodge operator  $*$  by setting

$$\bar{*}\alpha = *(\eta \wedge \alpha) = (-1)^p \iota_\xi(*\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \Omega_B^p.$$

In particular, it maps basic forms to themselves and on  $p$ -forms we have

$$(\bar{*})^2|_{\Omega_B^p} = (-1)^p.$$

As in almost Kähler geometry, define also *adjoint differentials* by  $\delta_B = -\bar{*} d_B \bar{*}$  and  $\delta_B^c = -\bar{*} d_B^c \bar{*}$ . The *basic (twisted) Laplacian* is then given by

$$(5) \quad \Delta_B = d_B \delta_B + \delta_B d_B, \quad \Delta_B^c = d_B^c \delta_B^c + \delta_B^c d_B^c.$$

One can easily check the following (similarly to the almost-Kähler case, see [16]):

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\nabla$  be a torsion free connection on  $M$  with  $\nabla(d\eta) = 0$ . For a local, positively oriented,  $g$ -orthonormal basis  $\xi, e_1, \dots, e_{2n}$  of  $TM$  we have*

$$\delta_B^c \alpha = - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \iota_{\Phi e_i} (\nabla_{e_i} \alpha), \quad \alpha \in \Omega_B^p.$$

(such a connection  $\nabla$  can be constructed similarly to the Levi-Civita connection.)

We introduce also the adjoint operators  $L: \Omega_B^p \rightarrow \Omega_B^{p+2}$  and  $\Lambda: \Omega_B^{p+2} \rightarrow \Omega_B^p$  by

$$(6) \quad L(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge d\eta, \quad \Lambda = -\bar{*} L \bar{*}.$$

**Proposition 7.** *We have the Kähler identities on basic forms:*

$$(7) \quad [L, \delta_B^c] = -d_B, \quad [L, \delta_B] = d_B^c, \quad [\Lambda, d_B^c] = \delta_B, \quad [\Lambda, d_B] = -\delta_B^c$$

*Proof.* Using  $\Phi^2 \alpha = (\bar{*})^2 \alpha = (-1)^p \alpha$ , we reduce to proving only the first identity. Choose an oriented, local,  $g$ -orthonormal basis  $\{\xi, e_i\}$ . For a basic  $p$ -form  $\alpha$  we

then compute, using Lemma 6 and a torsion free connection  $\nabla$  preserving  $d\eta$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta_B^c, L]\alpha &= \delta_B^c(\alpha \wedge d\eta) - d\eta \wedge \delta_B^c\alpha \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} -\iota_{\Phi e_i}(\nabla_{e_i}(\alpha \wedge d\eta)) + d\eta \wedge \iota_{\Phi e_i}(\nabla_{e_i}\alpha) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} -\iota_{\Phi e_i}(\nabla_{e_i}\alpha \wedge d\eta) + d\eta \wedge \iota_{\Phi e_i}(\nabla_{e_i}\alpha) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} -\iota_{\Phi e_i}(d\eta) \wedge \nabla_{e_i}\alpha \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_i^{\flat g} \wedge \nabla_{e_i}\alpha = d_B\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Note here that the expression for  $d\alpha$  in terms of covariant derivatives reduces on basic forms to the last equation since  $\nabla_\xi\alpha = 0$  for basic  $\alpha$ . To see this, note that  $\nabla(d\eta) = 0$  implies  $d\eta(\nabla_X\xi, Z) = 0$  for any  $X, Z$  and the Reeb field  $\xi$ . Therefore  $\nabla_X\xi$  is a multiple of  $\xi$ , so for basic forms  $i_{\nabla_X\xi}\alpha = 0$ . Using that  $\nabla$  is torsion free,

$$0 = \mathfrak{L}_\xi\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p) = \nabla_\xi\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p) + \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^k \alpha(\nabla_{X_k}\xi, X_1, \dots, \widehat{X_k}, \dots, X_p),$$

for arbitrary tangent vectors  $X_k$ , and  $\nabla_\xi\alpha = 0$  follows.  $\square$

**Remark 8.** It follows from Remark 5 and the Kähler identities (7) that  $\Delta_B = \Delta_B^c$  whenever the  $K$ -contact structure  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  is Sasakian.

The Laplacians (5) are basic transversely elliptic operators (see [22]). Hence they are Fredholm operators, so we get *basic Green operators*  $\mathbb{G}_B$  and  $\mathbb{G}_B^c$  with

$$(8) \quad \mathbb{G}_B\Delta_B\alpha = \Delta_B\mathbb{G}_B\alpha = \alpha + (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \mathbb{G}_B^c\Delta_B^c\alpha = \Delta_B^c\mathbb{G}_B^c\alpha = \alpha + (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c},$$

where  $(\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}}, (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c}$  are orthogonal projections onto  $\Delta_B$  and  $\Delta_B^c$  harmonic forms. Recall that  $\mathbb{G}_B, \Delta_B$  commute with  $d_B, \delta_B$ , while  $\mathbb{G}_B^c, \Delta_B^c$  commute with  $d_B^c, \delta_B^c$ .

**Lemma 9.** For any  $d_B$ -exact,  $d_B^c$ -closed  $\alpha \in \Omega_B^p$  there exists  $\psi \in \Omega_B^{p-2}$  with

$$(9) \quad \alpha = \mathbb{G}_B d_B d_B^c \psi = d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c \psi.$$

*Proof.* This is an analogue of [28, Lemma 3.1]. Decomposing  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\Delta_B$  using (8) gives  $\alpha = d_B \delta_B \mathbb{G}_B \alpha$ . With respect to  $\Delta_B^c$  we have  $\alpha = (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c} + d_B^c \delta_B^c \mathbb{G}_B^c \alpha$ . Now we apply the Kähler identities (7) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= d_B \delta_B \mathbb{G}_B \alpha = d_B \delta_B \mathbb{G}_B [(\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c} + d_B^c \delta_B^c \mathbb{G}_B^c \alpha] \\
&= d_B \mathbb{G}_B \delta_B (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c} + d_B \mathbb{G}_B \delta_B d_B^c \delta_B^c \mathbb{G}_B^c \alpha \\
&= d_B \mathbb{G}_B [\Lambda, d_B^c] (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c} - d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c \delta_B \delta_B^c \mathbb{G}_B^c \alpha \\
&= -d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c \Lambda (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c} - d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c \delta_B \delta_B^c \mathbb{G}_B^c \alpha \\
&= d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c (-\Lambda (\alpha)_{\mathcal{H}^c} - \delta_B \delta_B^c \mathbb{G}_B^c \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 10.** Suppose  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_B^2$  are  $d_B$ -closed,  $J$ -invariant, and basic cohomologous. Then there exists a smooth basic function  $f$  with  $\omega_2 = \omega_1 + d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f$ .

**Remark 11.** Corollary 10 generalizes the transverse  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma [22]. Indeed, Remark 8 implies that in the Sasakian case  $\omega_2 = \omega_1 + d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f = \omega_1 + d_B d_B^c \mathbb{G}_B f$ .

**3.2. The Space  $\mathcal{K}(\xi, J)$  in Dimension 5.** In dimension  $2n + 1$  the bundle  $\Lambda_B^2$  of basic 2-forms decomposes into  $\pm 1$ -eigenspaces of the transverse Hodge operator

$$\Lambda_B^2 = \Lambda_B^+ \oplus \Lambda_B^-.$$

Similarly  $\Lambda_B^2 = \Lambda_B^{J,-} \oplus \Lambda_B^{J,+}$  into the  $\pm 1$ -eigenspaces of  $J$ . In dimension 5 we have

$$(10) \quad \Lambda_B^+ = \mathbb{R} \cdot d\eta \oplus \Lambda_B^{J,-}, \quad \Lambda_B^- = \Lambda_0^{J,+},$$

where  $\Lambda_0^{J,+}$  is the subbundle of  $J$ -invariant 2-forms pointwise orthogonal to  $d\eta$ .

We denote by  $b_B^+$  (resp.  $b_B^-$ ) the dimension of the space of  $\Delta_B$ -harmonic  $\bar{*}$ -self-dual (resp.  $\bar{*}$ -anti-self-dual) basic 2-forms. Since  $\Delta_B$ -harmonic basic 2-forms are preserved by the  $\bar{*}$ -operator, the dimension of the basic cohomology is

$$b_B^2 := \dim H_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^2(M) = b_B^+ + b_B^-.$$

Let  $h_{J,B}^-$  be the dimension of the  $\Delta_B$ -harmonic  $J$ -anti-invariant basic 2-forms. It is easy to see that this definition agrees with that in [9].

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  be a 5-dimensional compact  $K$ -contact manifold. If  $h_{J,B}^- = b_B^+ - 1$ , then for any basic function  $f$ ,  $d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f$  is  $J$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of [28, Proposition 2], so we only sketch the argument. Beginning with (10), a computation using the Kähler identities (7) shows that the  $J$ -anti-invariant part of  $d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f$  is

$$(d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f)^{J,-} = \frac{1}{2} (f_0 d\eta)_H - \frac{1}{4} g((f_0 d\eta)_H, d\eta) d\eta,$$

for the orthogonal projection  $f_0$  of  $f$  onto the complement of the constants and the  $\Delta_B$  harmonic part  $(f_0 d\eta)_H$ . The condition  $h_{J,B}^- = b_B^+ - 1$  implies  $(f_0 d\eta)_H = 0$ .  $\square$

Using Remark 8 we see  $h_{J,B}^- = b_B^+ - 1$  when the  $K$ -contact structure is Sasakian. It is well-known that an almost complex structure is integrable precisely when  $(dd^c + d^c d)f$  is  $J$ -invariant for every function  $f$ . The condition  $h_{J,B}^- = b_B^+ - 1$  appears therefore as a semi integrability condition.

**Definition 13.** A  $K$ -contact structure is *semi-Sasakian* if  $h_{J,B}^- = b_B^+ - 1$  holds.

**Remark 14.** Under a smooth variation of the transverse almost-complex structure  $J_t$ , the dimension  $h_{J_t,B}^-$  is an upper semi-continuous function of  $t$ . To see this, consider the family of basic transversally strongly elliptic differential operators

$$P_t : \Omega_B^{J_t,-} \rightarrow \Omega_B^{J_t,-}, \quad \alpha \mapsto (d\delta_B^t \alpha)^{J_t,-}.$$

Here,  $\Omega_B^{J_t,-}$  are basic  $J_t$ -anti-invariant 2-forms,  $(\cdot)^{J_t,-}$  is the projection, and  $\delta_B^t$  is the adjoint of  $d_B$  with respect to the  $K$ -contact metric induced by  $J_t$ . Then  $h_{J_t,B}^-$  is the kernel of  $P_t$ , whose dimension is an upper semi-continuous function (using [23, Theorem 6.1], an adaption of [24, Theorem 4.3], see also [9]).

We may now generalize [4, Proposition 7.5.7] to the semi-Sasakian case:

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $(M, \eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  be a 5-dimensional compact semi-Sasakian manifold with transverse structure  $J = \Phi^\top$ . Then we have a diffeomorphism*

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{K}(\xi, J) \simeq \mathcal{H} \times C_{B,0}^\infty(M) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

for the basic functions with zero integral  $C_{B,0}^\infty(M)$  and where

$$\mathcal{H} = \{f \in C_{B,0}^\infty(M) \mid (d\eta + d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f)(X, \Phi X) > 0 \quad \forall X \in \ker(\eta + \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f)\}.$$

*Proof.* Now that Corollary 10 and Proposition 12 are in place, the proof runs parallel to the Sasakian case (see [4, Proposition 7.5.7]). We pick a basis  $[\alpha_1]_B, \dots, [\alpha_N]_B$  of the space  $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}(M) \cong H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  [4, Proposition 7.2.3]. Note the diffeomorphism

$$\psi: H^1_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}(M) \times C^\infty_{B,0}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1_{B,\text{closed}}(M), \quad \left( \sum \lambda_i [\alpha_i]_B, g \right) \mapsto \sum \lambda_i \alpha_i + dg,$$

endowing  $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}(M)$  with the norm-topology of finite-dimensional vector space.

Suppose  $(\bar{\eta}, \xi, \bar{\Phi}, \bar{g}) \in \mathcal{K}(\xi, J)$ . Then  $\bar{\eta} - \eta$  is a basic 1-form, so  $[d\bar{\eta}]_B = [d\eta]_B$ . By Corollary 10, there exists a unique  $f \in C^\infty_{B,0}$  with  $d\bar{\eta} = d\eta + d_B \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f$ , depending smoothly on  $\bar{\eta}$ , *e.g.* because of the formula for  $f$  in the proof of Lemma 9. The 1-form  $\alpha = \bar{\eta} - \eta - \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f$  is closed and basic. Letting  $\psi^{-1}(\alpha) = ([\alpha]_B, g)$ , we may define the smooth one-to-one map (11) by  $(\bar{\eta}, \xi, \bar{\Phi}, \bar{g}) \mapsto (f, g, [\alpha]_B)$ .

Conversely, given  $(f, g, [\alpha]_B) \in \mathcal{H} \times C^\infty_{B,0}(M) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ , let  $\psi(g, [\alpha]_B) = \beta$ . Define  $\bar{\eta} = \eta + \mathbb{G}_B d_B^c f + \beta$ . Since  $i_\xi(\bar{\eta} \wedge (d\bar{\eta})^2) = (d\eta + \mathbb{G}_B d_B d_B^c f)^2$ , the positivity assumption on  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  implies that  $\bar{\eta}$  is a contact form. Moreover, using Proposition 12 one easily checks that

$$\bar{\Phi} = \Phi - \xi \otimes (\bar{\eta} - \eta) \circ \Phi, \quad \bar{g} = d\bar{\eta} \circ (\bar{\Phi} \otimes \text{id}) + \bar{\eta} \otimes \bar{\eta},$$

determine a  $K$ -contact structure  $(\bar{\eta}, \xi, \bar{\Phi}, \bar{g})$ .  $\square$

#### 4. EXTREMAL $K$ -CONTACT METRICS

Throughout this section,  $(M, \eta)$  is a compact contact manifold of dimension  $2n+1$  with volume form  $dv_\eta = (2n!)^{-1} \eta \wedge (d\eta)^{2n}$ . The aim of this section is to introduce natural representatives of  $K$ -contact structures on  $(M, \eta)$  via a moment map set-up. Once we have proven the main Theorem 16, we may use this description of extremal metrics to establish easily a number of interesting consequences.

**4.1. The Transverse Hermitian Scalar Curvature as a Moment Map.** Let  $\mathcal{K}_\eta$  be the Fréchet space of  $K$ -contact structures compatible with  $\eta$ , equipped with its formal Kähler structure  $(\Omega, \mathbf{J})$  described in [21] (which is analogous to [10] in the Kähler and [11] in the almost-Kähler case). We have

$$T_\Phi \mathcal{K}_\eta = \{A \in \text{End}(TM) \mid A\xi = 0, \mathfrak{L}_\xi A = 0, A\Phi + \Phi A = 0, d\eta(A \cdot, \cdot) + d\eta(\cdot, A \cdot) = 0\}.$$

The Kähler form is defined at the point  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta$  by

$$\Omega_\Phi(A, B) = \int_M \text{trace}(\Phi \circ A \circ B) dv_\eta,$$

while an  $\Omega$ -compatible almost-complex structure (in fact integrable) is given by

$$\mathbf{J}_\Phi A = \Phi \circ A.$$

The action of the strict contactomorphism group  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  on  $\mathcal{K}_\eta$  via  $\gamma_* \circ \Phi \circ \gamma_*^{-1}$  is by symplectomorphisms, observing that  $\gamma^* dv_\eta = dv_\eta$ .

**Theorem 16.** (see [21, Remark 4.3]) *The action of  $\mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  on  $\mathcal{K}_\eta$  is Hamiltonian. The moment map  $\mu: \mathcal{K}_\eta \rightarrow \text{Lie } \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)^* \cong C^\infty_B(M)^*$  is*

$$\mu(\Phi)(f) = - \int_M \bar{s}_\Phi^T f dv_\eta,$$

for the transverse Hermitian scalar curvature  $\bar{s}_\Phi^T$  of  $\Phi$  and where we use (1).

*Proof.* The infinitesimal action of  $X \in \text{Lie } \mathfrak{C}on(M, \eta)$  at a point  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta$  is given by  $\widehat{X}(\Phi) = -\mathfrak{L}_X \Phi$ . For a tangent vector  $A \in T_\Phi \mathcal{K}_\eta$  let  $Q(A) \in C_B^\infty$  be the derivative of the map  $\Phi \mapsto \bar{s}_\Phi^T$  in direction  $A$ . We must show

$$(12) \quad \Omega_\Phi(-\mathfrak{L}_{X_f} \Phi, A) = - \int_M f \cdot Q(A) dv_\eta.$$

We do local computations as in [21]. Pick local coordinates as in Subsection 2.2. We shall write  $f_k = f_{,k} = \partial f / \partial x^k$  and  $f_{;k}$  for covariant differentiation.

From  $d\eta(X_f, \cdot) = -df$  we have (we adopt the summation convention that roman indices, if appearing twice, range over  $1, \dots, n$ , *i.e.* excluding zero)

$$(13) \quad X_f = -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_k^j g^{ki} f_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + f \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0}$$

Since  $\Phi$  is basic, we may locally write

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_f} \Phi = B_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j.$$

The local coordinate formula for the Lie derivative combined with (13) gives

$$B_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{j,p}^i \Phi_k^l g^{kp} f_l + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_j^p (\Phi_k^l g^{ki} f_l)_{,p} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi_p^i (\Phi_k^l g^{kp} f_l)_{,j}.$$

From (3) we see then

$$\Phi_i^s B_j^i = -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_i^s \Phi_{j,p}^i \Phi_k^l g^{kp} f_l + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_i^s \Phi_j^p (\Phi_k^l g^{ki} f_l)_{,p} + \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_k^l g^{ks} f_l)_{,j}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\Phi(\mathfrak{L}_{X_f} \Phi, A) &= \int_M \text{trace}(\Phi \circ \mathcal{L}_{X_f} \Phi \circ A) dv_\eta \\ &= \int_M \left( -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_i^s \Phi_{j,p}^i \Phi_k^l g^{kp} f_l A_s^j + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_i^s \Phi_j^p (\Phi_k^l g^{ki} f_l)_{,p} A_s^j + \frac{1}{2} (\Phi_k^l g^{ks} f_l)_{,j} A_s^j \right) dv_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C_j^s = \Phi_i^s \Phi_{j,p}^i \Phi_k^l g^{kp} f_l$ . Using (3) and its derivative one checks  $g_{sl} C_j^s = -g_{sj} C_l^s$ , so  $C$  is  $g^T$ -anti-symmetric. On the other hand,  $A_s^j$  is  $g^T$ -symmetric and so the trace  $C_j^k A_s^j$ , the first summand in the bracket, vanishes.

The second and third summand are equal (from  $\Phi_j^k A_s^j = -\Phi_s^j A_j^k$ ) so

$$\Omega_\Phi(\mathfrak{L}_{X_f} \Phi, A) = \int_M (\Phi_k^l g^{ks} f_l)_{,j} A_s^j dv_\eta.$$

The variation of the transverse Hermitian scalar curvature (see [16]) is given in terms of the variation of  $\Phi$  along  $A$  by

$$\dot{\bar{s}}^T = Q(A) = -(g^{ks} \Phi_k^l (A_s^j)_{;j})_{;l}.$$

Using integration by parts twice (justified as in [21]), we conclude the proof of (12):

$$\int_M (\Phi_k^l g^{ks} f_l)_{,j} A_s^j dv_\eta = - \int_M \Phi_k^l g^{ks} f_l (A_s^j)_{;j} dv_\eta = \int_M f (\Phi_k^l g^{ks} (A_s^j)_{;j})_{;l} dv_\eta \quad \square$$

Much of the above works in greater generality; instead of a contact manifold, begin with a closed manifold  $M$  and closed 2-form  $\omega$  with  $\omega^q$  never zero and  $\omega^{q+1} = 0$ . This amounts to a codimension  $2q$  foliation  $T\mathcal{F} = \ker \omega$  with transverse symplectic structure. The argument of Proposition 7 gives Kähler identities on basic forms. One may then define a Fréchet space  $\mathcal{AC}(\omega)$  of  $\omega$ -compatible transverse almost complex structures  $J$ , which has a Kähler structure. Combining  $J$  with  $\omega$  yields

a bundle-like metric  $g$ , so again we obtain a transverse Levi-Civita connection  $D^T$  and Hermitian connection and corresponding scalar curvatures.

Introducing the variation of connection  $\dot{\alpha}$  in direction  $A \in T_J \mathcal{AC}(\omega)$  in the standard way (see [16, Section 9.5]) defines, using that the connection is basic (see [33, Proposition 3.6]), a basic 1-form. The Mohsen formula  $2\dot{\alpha} = g(\delta A, \cdot)$  for  $\delta A = -\sum i_{e_k} D_{e_k}^T A$ , summing over an orthonormal frame  $e_k$  of the normal bundle, can be established using the argument of [16, Proposition 9.5.1]. The variation  $\dot{\alpha}$  being basic, the Kähler identities then give  $\bar{s}_J^T = -\delta J(\delta A)^b$ .

It is not hard to define a transverse Hamiltonian group that acts on  $\mathcal{AC}(\omega)$ . As in Theorem 16, this action is Hamiltonian with moment map  $J \mapsto \bar{s}_J^T$ .

**Definition 17.** The square-norm of the moment map defines a functional

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{C}: \mathcal{K}_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathfrak{C}(\Phi) = \|\mu(\Phi)\|^2 = \int_M (\bar{s}_\Phi^T)^2 dv_\eta.$$

The critical points of this functional are called *extremal K-contact metrics*.

Given a  $K$ -contact structure  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$ , we denote by  $X_{\bar{s}^T} \in \text{Lie } \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  the vector field belonging via (1) to the scalar curvature  $\bar{s}_\Phi^T$ .

**Proposition 18.**  $\Phi$  is extremal if and only if  $X_{\bar{s}^T}$  is a Killing vector field with respect to the metric  $g_\Phi$  induced by  $\Phi$  (equivalently, when  $\mathfrak{L}_{X_{\bar{s}^T}} \Phi = 0$ ).

*Proof.* This follows from the moment map set-up (see [2, 26] in the case of extremal almost-Kähler metrics). For  $A \in T_\Phi \mathcal{K}_\eta$  the differential of (14) in direction  $A$  is

$$\mathfrak{C}_{*,\Phi}(A) = 2\langle \mu_{*,\Phi}(A), \mu(\Phi) \rangle = 2d\mu_{\bar{s}_\Phi^T}(A) = 2\Omega_\Phi(-\mathfrak{L}_{X_{\bar{s}^T}} \Phi, A),$$

where we write  $\mu^f = \mu(\cdot)(f)$ . The last equality is by Theorem 16.  $\square$

**Example 19.** Calabi's extremal problem [7, 8] was extended to Sasaki geometry by Boyer–Galicki–Simanca in [5, 6] where they introduce the notion of *extremal Sasakian metrics*. This notion generalizes *Sasaki-Einstein metrics* (more generally the so-called  $\eta$ -Einstein metrics, see for instance [32]) and constant scalar curvature Sasaki metrics. From Proposition 18, extremal Sasakian metrics  $\Phi$  are extremal  $K$ -contact metrics. Indeed, when  $\Phi$  is Sasakian the Riemannian scalar curvature coincides with the Hermitian scalar curvature  $\bar{s}^T$ .

**Remark 20.** Extremal  $K$ -contact metrics are a natural extension of extremal Sasakian metrics [5, 6] to  $K$ -contact geometry. Given a background Sasakian structure  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$ , Boyer–Galicki–Simanca consider the space  $\mathcal{S}(\xi, J)$ , of Sasakian structures with common Reeb vector field  $\xi$  and transverse *integrable* almost-complex structure  $J = \Phi^T$ , arising from deforming the contact form  $\eta$  by  $\eta \mapsto \eta_t = \eta + t\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a basic 1-form with respect to the characteristic foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ . Hence, by *Gray's Stability Theorem* (see [20] or for instance [4, p. 190]), there exist a diffeomorphism  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma^* \eta' = \eta$ , for any  $(\eta', \xi, \Phi', g') \in \mathcal{S}(\xi, J)$ . This gives a new Sasakian structure  $(\eta, \xi, \gamma_*^{-1} \Phi' \gamma_*, \gamma^* g')$  with  $\gamma_*^{-1} \Phi' \gamma_* \in \mathcal{K}_\eta$ .

## 5. A $K$ -CONTACT FUTAKI INVARIANT

We continue to draw consequences of Theorem 16. In this section, we generalize the Futaki invariant from [5], [13] to the non-integrable  $K$ -contact setting. Fix throughout a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional compact contact manifold  $(M, \eta)$  with volume form  $dv_\eta = (2n)!^{-1} \eta \wedge (d\eta)^{2n}$ . Moreover, we shall assume  $\mathcal{K}_\eta \neq \emptyset$ . In this case

we may find by [3, Corollary 4.14] a maximal torus  $G \subset \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  in the *strict* contactomorphism group of *Reeb type*, meaning  $\xi \in \text{Lie}(G)$ . Note that in  $\mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  different maximal tori are not necessarily conjugate.

Since  $G$  is compact, an averaging argument shows that the subspace  $\mathcal{K}_\eta^G \subset \mathcal{K}_\eta$  of  $G$ -invariant  $K$ -contact structures is contractible as well.

Let  $\Pi^G$  be the orthogonal projection from  $C_B^\infty(M)$ , the space of basic functions, onto  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta$  the contact Hamiltonians of  $\text{Lie}(G)$ , recalling the identification (1).

As a generalization of [31] in the Kähler case we find:

**Proposition 21.** *For every smooth curve  $\Phi_t \in \mathcal{K}_\eta^G$  the projection of the Hermitian scalar curvature  $\Pi^G(\bar{s}_{\Phi_t}^T) \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta$  is independent of  $t$ .*

*Proof.* We may equivalently show that  $\mu|_{\mathcal{K}_\eta^G}(\Phi_t)(X)$  is constant for any  $X \in \text{Lie}(G)$ :

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t_0} \mu(\Phi_t)(X) = d\mu(\dot{\Phi}(0))(X) = \Omega_{\Phi_{t_0}}(\dot{\Phi}(0), \widehat{X}(\Phi_{t_0})) = 0,$$

using that the infinitesimal action  $\widehat{X}(\Phi_{t_0})$  vanishes ( $\Phi_{t_0}$  being  $G$ -invariant).  $\square$

**Definition 22.** For fixed  $G \subset \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$ , we define the vector field  $Z_\eta^G \in \text{Lie}(G)$  corresponding to the contact Hamiltonian  $z_\eta^G = \Pi^G \bar{s}_\Phi^T \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta$ , via (1), using an arbitrary  $K$ -contact structure  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta^G$ .

By Proposition 21 and  $\mathcal{K}_\eta^G \simeq \{\text{pt}\}$ , the *extremal vector field*  $Z_\eta^G$  is well-defined (see [14] in the Kähler case)

**Proposition 23.** *A  $K$ -contact structure  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta^G$  is extremal precisely when*

$$(15) \quad \bar{s}_\Phi^T = z_\eta^G.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi, g)$  is extremal. By Proposition 18,  $X_{\bar{s}^T}$  is a  $G$ -invariant Killing field.  $\Phi$  being  $G$ -invariant,  $G$  is a subgroup of the isometry group for  $(M, g_\Phi)$ . Consider the connected Lie subgroup  $H \subset \text{Isom}(M, g)$  belonging to the abelian subalgebra  $\text{Lie}(G) + \mathbb{R} \cdot X_{\bar{s}^T}$ . The closure  $\overline{H}$  is a torus in  $\text{Isom}(M, g) \cap \mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$  (and also in  $\mathfrak{Con}(M, \eta)$ ), containing  $G$ . By maximality,  $G \subset H = \overline{H} \subset G$ , so  $X_{\bar{s}^T} \in \text{Lie}(G)$  and (15) follows. Conversely, from (15) we have  $\bar{s}^T \in \text{Lie}(G) \subset \text{Lie}(\text{Isom}(M, g))$  so  $X_{\bar{s}^T}$  is a Killing field and  $\Phi$  is extremal by Proposition 18.  $\square$

Consider the ‘angle’ map  $\langle \cdot, Z_\eta^G \rangle$  on  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . If  $(M, \eta)$  admits an extremal metric  $\Phi$ , then by the previous proposition the angle map completely determines its scalar curvature  $\bar{s}_\Phi^T$ . A more explicit definition of this map is as follows:

**Definition 24.** The  *$K$ -contact Futaki invariant* relative to the group  $G$  is the map

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{K}_\eta^G}: \text{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad X \mapsto \int_M \eta(X) \bar{s}^T dv_\eta,$$

where  $\bar{s}^T = \bar{s}_\Phi^T - \frac{\int_M \bar{s}_\Phi^T dv_\eta}{\int_M dv_\eta}$  is the zero integral part (for  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta^G$  arbitrary).

The previous discussion implies (see [5, Proposition 5.2] in the Sasakian case):

**Proposition 25.** *If  $(M, \eta)$  admits an extremal  $K$ -contact metric, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every (some) extremal metric has constant Hermitian scalar curvature.*
- (2)  $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{K}_\eta^G} \equiv 0$ .

**Proposition 26.** *The vector field  $Z_\eta^G$  is invariant under  $G$ -invariant strict contact isotopy of  $\eta$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that we have a smooth  $G$ -invariant family of contact forms  $\eta_t$  with the same Reeb vector field  $\xi$  (such that  $\eta_0 = \eta$ ). Then, by Gray's Stability Theorem, there exists a smooth family of diffeomorphisms  $\gamma_t$  such that  $\gamma_0 = \text{id}$  and  $\gamma_t^* \eta_t = \eta$ . Then,  $\gamma_t^*(Z_{\eta_t}^G) = Z_\eta^G$ . Moreover, using the  $G$ -invariance of the vector field generating  $\gamma_t^*$ , we have  $\gamma_t^*(Z_{\eta_t}^G) = Z_{\eta_t}^G$ .  $\square$

On a compact contact manifold  $(M, \eta)$  consider the space  $\mathcal{K}^G(\xi)$  of all  $G$ -invariant  $K$ -contact structures with contact forms strictly isotopic to  $\eta$  and common Reeb field  $\xi$ . One easily deduces the following: if  $\mathcal{K}^G(\xi)$  contains a  $K$ -contact metric with constant transverse Hermitian scalar curvature then  $Z_\eta^G = 0$ . Conversely, if  $Z_\eta^G = 0$ , any extremal  $K$ -contact metric in  $\mathcal{K}^G(\xi)$  is of constant transverse Hermitian scalar curvature.

Since the Reeb field  $\xi$  lies in  $\text{Lie}(G)$  it follows that

$$\int_M s^{\nabla^T} dv_\eta = \int_M z_\eta^G dv_\eta$$

so that

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{K}_\eta^G}(Z_\eta^G) = \int_M \eta(Z_\eta^G) s^{\nabla^T} dv_\eta = \int_M z_\eta^G s^{\nabla^T} dv_\eta = \int_M (z_\eta^G)^2 dv_\eta - \frac{\left(\int_M s^{\nabla^T} dv_\eta\right)^2}{\int_M dv_\eta}.$$

We obtain a lower bound for the functional (14):

**Proposition 27.** *Let  $S_\eta = \int_M s^{\nabla^T} dv_\eta$  and  $V_\eta = \int_M dv_\eta$ . For all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta^G$  we have*

$$\int_M (s^{\nabla^T})^2 dv_\eta \geq \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{K}_\eta^G}(Z_\eta^G) + \frac{S_\eta^2}{V_\eta}.$$

*Equality holds if and only if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{K}_\eta^G$  induces an extremal metric.*

*Proof.* The inequality follows from the above discussion. Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $s^{\nabla^T} = z_\eta^G$ , i.e. by Proposition 23 when  $\Phi$  is extremal.  $\square$

## 6. DEFORMATIONS OF EXTREMAL $K$ -CONTACT METRICS IN DIMENSION 5

In the Sasakian setting, Boyer-Galicki-Simanca developed the notion of *Sasaki cone* [5, 6] and proved in [5] that the existence of extremal Sasakian metrics is an open condition in the Sasaki cone, as in the Kähler set-up [25, 30, 12].

In this section, we show that a similar result holds in the semi-Sasakian case. Let  $\mathcal{K}_\eta^{G, \text{semi}}$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{K}_\eta^G$  of those  $\Phi$  that are semi-Sasakian (see Definition 13).

**Theorem 28.** *Let  $(M, \eta)$  be a 5-dimensional compact contact manifold and  $G$  be a maximal torus in  $\mathfrak{C}\text{on}(M, \eta)$ . Let  $\Phi_t$  be a smooth curve in  $\mathcal{K}_\eta^{G, \text{semi}}$  with  $\Phi_0$  an extremal Sasakian metric. Then there exists a smooth curve  $\overline{\Phi}_t$  of  $G$ -invariant extremal  $K$ -contact metrics with  $\Phi_0 = \overline{\Phi}_0$  and  $\overline{\Phi}_t$  diffeomorphic to  $\Phi_t$ .*

*Proof.* We follow mainly Boyer-Galicki-Simanca proof [5]. However, in our case,  $J_t = \Phi_t^T$  may vary. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \{\eta(X) \mid X \in \text{Lie}(G)\}$  be the space of contact Hamiltonian functions associated to  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . Using Theorem 15, we consider the deformations

of  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi_0, g_0)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{t,\phi} &= \eta + \mathbb{G}_t d_t^c \Delta_{B,t} \phi, \\ \Phi_{t,\phi} &= \Phi_t - (\xi \otimes (\eta_{t,\phi} - \eta)) \circ \Phi_t, \\ g_{t,\phi} &= d\eta_{t,\phi} \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Phi_{t,\phi}) + \eta_{t,\phi} \otimes \eta_{t,\phi},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{G}_t$  is the Green's operator associated to the basic Laplacian  $\Delta_{B,t}$ , with respect to the  $K$ -contact metric  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi_t, g_t)$ ,  $\phi$  is an element of the space  $C_G^{\infty, \perp}$  of smooth  $G$ -invariant basic functions which are  $L^2$ -orthogonal (with respect to  $dv_\eta$ ) to  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta$ . Here,  $d_t^c$  stands for  $\Phi_t d_B$ .

Denote by  $\Pi_{\eta_{t,\phi}}$  the  $L^2$ -orthogonal projection of basic functions on the space  $\mathfrak{g}_{\eta_{t,\phi}} = \{\eta_{t,\phi}(X) \mid X \in \text{Lie}(G)\}$  with respect to the volume form  $dv_{\eta_{t,\phi}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}^{p,k}$  be the Sobolev completion of  $C_G^{\infty, \perp}$  involving derivatives up to order  $k$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{W}^{p,k}$  be a neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$  such that  $(\eta_{t,\phi}, \xi, \Phi_{t,\phi}, g_{t,\phi})$  is a  $K$ -contact structure for any  $(t, \phi) \in \mathcal{U}$  and that  $\ker(\text{id} - \Pi_\eta) \circ (\text{id} - \Pi_{\eta_{t,\phi}}) = \ker(\text{id} - \Pi_{\eta_{t,\phi}})$  (by possibly shrinking  $\mathcal{U}$ ). Consider then the map (defined by extension)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi: \mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{W}^{p,k+4} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{W}^{p,k} \\ (t, \phi) &\longmapsto (t, (\text{id} - \Pi_\eta) \circ (\text{id} - \Pi_{\eta_{t,\phi}}) \bar{s}_{t,\phi}^T),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{s}_{t,\phi}^T$  is the transverse Hermitian scalar curvature of  $(\eta_{t,\phi}, \xi, \Phi_{t,\phi}, g_{t,\phi})$ . The map is well defined for  $pk > 5$ .

By Proposition 23,  $\Psi(t, \phi) = (t, 0)$  if and only if  $(\eta_{t,\phi}, \xi, \Phi_{t,\phi}, g_{t,\phi})$  is an extremal  $K$ -contact structure. Hence, by hypothesis,  $\Psi(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ .

$\Psi$  is a  $C^1$  map. Indeed, the dimension of the kernel of the basic Laplacian, with respect to the metric  $(\eta, \xi, \Phi_t, g_t)$ , applied on 1-forms, is equal to the dimension of  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$  (see [4, Proposition 7.2.3]) and so the dimension of the kernel of  $\Delta_{B,t}$  is independent of  $t$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{G}_t$  is a  $C^1$  map (see [23, Theorem 6.1]) and consequently  $\Psi$  is. The linearization of  $\Psi$  at  $(0, 0)$  is given by (see [5, Proposition 7.3])

$$(D\Psi)_{(0,0)}(t, \phi) = (t, t(\star) - 2(\text{id} - \Pi_\eta) L_B^{g_0}(\phi)),$$

where  $L_B^{g_0}(\phi) = \delta_B^{g_0} \delta_B^{g_0} \left( D^{g_0^T} d_B \phi \right)^{J_0, -}$  is a basic self-adjoint transversally elliptic differential operator of order 4 (here,  $(\star)$  denotes some expression depending on  $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} \Phi_t$ ). By the standard arguments (see [5, Proposition 7.5] and [1, Lemma 4]) and the main result of [22],  $(D\Psi)_{(0,0)}$  is an isomorphism.

It follows from the Inverse Function Theorem for Banach spaces that there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{W}^{p,k+4}$  of  $(0, 0)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that, for  $|t| < \epsilon$ ,  $(\eta_{\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}(t,0)}, \xi, \Phi_{\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}(t,0)}, g_{\Psi|_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1}(t,0)})$  is an extremal  $K$ -contact metric.

By a standard bootstrapping argument (used for instance in [27]), we get then a smooth family of  $G$ -invariant extremal  $K$ -contact metrics defined for a sufficiently small  $t$ . Theorem 28 now follows from Gray's Stability Theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 29.** Suppose that at time  $t = 0$  we have  $b_B^+ = 1$ . Then, using [23, Theorem 6.1],  $b_B^+ = 1$  for small  $|t| < \epsilon$ . Remark 14 now implies that  $\Phi_t$  is automatically semi-Sasakian for small values of  $|t|$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon and C. W. Tønnesen-Friedman, *Extremal Kähler metrics on projective bundles over a curve*, Adv. Math. **227** (2011), no. 6, 2385–2424.
- [2] V. Apostolov and T. Drăghici, *The curvature and the integrability of almost-Kähler manifolds: a survey*, Fields Inst. Communications Series **35**, AMS (2003), 25–53.
- [3] C. P. Boyer, *Maximal Tori in Contactomorphism Groups*, Differential Geom. Appl. **31** (2013), no. 2, 190–216.
- [4] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasaki geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).
- [5] C. P. Boyer, K. Galicki and S. R. Simanca, *Canonical Sasaki metrics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **279** (2008), no. 3, 705–733.
- [6] ———, *The Sasaki cone and extremal Sasaki metrics*, Riemannian topology and geometric structures on manifolds, 263–290, Progr. Math., 271, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (2009).
- [7] E. Calabi, *Extremal Kähler metrics*, in Seminar of Differential Geometry, S. T. Yau (eds), Annals of Mathematics Studies **102**, Princeton University Press (1982), 259–290.
- [8] ———, *Extremal Kähler metrics II*, Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis, 95–114, Springer, Berlin (1985).
- [9] T. Drăghici, T.-J. Li and W. Zhang, *On the J-anti-invariant cohomology of almost complex 4-manifolds*, Q. J. Math. **64** (2013), no. 1, 83–111.
- [10] S. K. Donaldson, *Remarks on Gauge theory, complex geometry and 4-manifold topology*, in “The Fields Medalists Lectures” (eds. M. Atiyah and D. Iagolnitzer), pp. 384–403, World Scientific (1997).
- [11] A. Fujiki, *Moduli space of polarized algebraic manifolds and Kähler metrics*, Sugaku Expositions **5** (1992), 173–191.
- [12] A. Fujiki and G. Schumacher, *The moduli space of extremal compact Kähler manifolds and generalized Weil–Petersson metrics*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 1, 101–183.
- [13] A. Futaki, *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics*, Invent. Math., **73** (1983), 437–443.
- [14] A. Futaki and T. Mabuchi, *Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995), 199–210.
- [15] A. Futaki, H. Ono and G. Wang, *Transverse Kähler geometry of Sasaki manifolds and toric Sasaki-Einstein manifolds*, arXiv:math/0607586.
- [16] P. Gauduchon, *Calabi’s extremal Kähler metrics: An elementary introduction*, In preparation.
- [17] ———, *Hermitian connections and Dirac operators*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) **11** (1997), no. 2, suppl., 257–288.
- [18] H. Geiges, *An introduction to contact topology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **109**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).
- [19] J. P. Gauntlett, D. Martelli, J. Sparks, W. Waldram, *Sasaki-Einstein metrics on  $S^2 \times S^3$* , Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **8** (2004), pp. 711–734.
- [20] J. W. Gray, *Some global properties of contact structures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **69** (1959), 421–450.
- [21] W. He, *On the transverse scalar curvature of a compact Sasaki manifold*, arXiv:1105.4000.
- [22] A. El. Kacimi-Alaoui, *Opérateurs transversalement elliptiques sur un feuilletage riemannien et applications*, Compositio Math., **79** (1990), 57–106.
- [23] A. El. Kacimi-Alaoui and B. Gmira, *Stabilité du caractère kählérien transverse*, Israel J. Math. **101** (1997), 323–347.
- [24] K. Kodaira and J. Morrow, *Complex manifolds*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston (1971).
- [25] C. LeBrun and S. R. Simanca, *On the Kähler Classes of Extremal Metrics*, Geometry and Global Analysis (Sendai, Japan 1993), FirstMath. Soc. Japan Intern. Res. Inst. Eds. Kotake, Nishikawa and Schoen.
- [26] M. Lejmi, *Extremal almost-Kähler metrics*, Internat. J. Math. **21** (2010), no. 12, 1639–1662.
- [27] ———, *Stability under deformations of extremal almost-Kähler metrics in dimension 4*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 4, 601–612.

- [28] ———, *Stability under deformations of Hermite-Einstein almost-Kähler metrics*, To appear at annales de l'institut Fourier, **64** (2014).
- [29] P. Libermann, *Sur les connexions hermitiennes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **239** (1954). 1579–1581.
- [30] S. R. Simanca, *Canonical metrics on compact almost complex manifolds*, Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro (2004), 97 pp.
- [31] ———, *Heat Flows for Extremal Kähler Metrics*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa CL. Sci., **4** (2005), 187–217.
- [32] J. Sparks, *Sasakian-Einstein manifolds*, arXiv:1004.2461.
- [33] P. Tondeur, *Geometry of Riemannian foliations*, volume 20 of *Seminar on Mathematical Sciences*. Keio University, Department of Mathematics, Yokohama (1994).

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES CP218, BOULEVARD DU TRIOMPHE, BRUXELLES 1050, BELGIQUE.

*E-mail address:* `mlejmi@ulb.ac.be`

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES CP218, BOULEVARD DU TRIOMPHE, BRUXELLES 1050, BELGIQUE.

*E-mail address:* `mupmeier@ulb.ac.be`